

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Paters and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Day 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/771,655	02/03/2004	Jedidia Lubbers	ORCL-2002-209-01	3532	
7590 07/10/2006			EXAMINER		
WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP			MOFIZ,	MOFIZ, APU M	
Third Floor Two North Market Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Jose, CA	95113	2165			
			DATE MAILED: 07/10/2000	DATE MAILED: 07/10/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	T						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Comments	10/771,655	LUBBERS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Apu M. Mofiz	2165					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 F	ebruary 2004.						
•	action is non-final.						
,—							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-21</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-5</u> is/are objected to.							
,— · · · — ·	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 February 2004</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claims are directed to a non-statutory subject matter, specifically, directed towards an abstract idea.

The Supreme Court has repeatedly held that abstractions are not patentable. "An idea of itself is not patentable". Rubber-Tip Pencil Co. V. Howard, 20 Wall. 498, 07. Phenomena of nature, though just discovered, mental processes, abstract intellectual concepts are not patentable, as they are the basic tools of scientific and technological work Gottschalk v. Benson, 175 USPQ 673, 675 (S Ct 1972). It is a common place that laws of nature, physical phenomena, and abstract ideas are not patentable subject matter Parker v. Flook, 197 USPQ 193, 201 (S Ct 1978). A process that consists solely of the manipulation of an abstract idea is not concrete or tangible. See In re Warmerdam, 33 F.3d 1354, 1360, 31 USPQ2d 1754, 1759 (Fed. Cir. 1994). See also Schrader, 22 F.3d at 295, 30 USPQ2d at 1459.

Claims 1 and 2 represent an abstract idea that does not provide a practical application in the technological arts. The claims do not appear to correspond to a

Art Unit: 2165

specific machine or manufacturing process disclosed within the specification and thus encompasses any product of the class configured in any manner to perform the underlying process. Consequently, the claims are analyzed based upon the underlying process, and are thus rejected as being directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sun Microsystems Inc., JavaHelp System User's Guide, June 2002 1-97 and referred to as JavaHelp hereinafter.

As to claim 1, JavaHelp teaches a helpset build method comprising: preparing helpset information for building (i.e., HTML files) (page 35); building helpset files recursively (i.e., building helpset jar files recursively) (page 47); and reporting helpset status related information (page 52).

As to claim 2, JavaHelp teaches parsing the gathered files source files; and identifying related helpsets (page 94).

Application/Control Number: 10/771,655 Page 4

Art Unit: 2165

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 6-21 are allowed over the prior art of record.

6. Claims 3-5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the

base claim and any intervening claims.

The closest prior art of record JavaHelp does not disclose, teach or suggest the claimed limitations of (in combination with all other features in the claim), building control files automatically, copying helpset files selectively, wherein selection is indicated by results of the parsing and modifying the help files and control files automatically as claimed in claim 3.

Points of Contact

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Apu M. Mofiz whose telephone number is (571) 272-4080. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Thursday 8:00 A.M. to 4:30 P.M.

Application/Control Number: 10/771,655 Page 5

Art Unit: 2165

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Gaffin can be reached at (571) 272-4146. The fax numbers for the group is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

Primary Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2100

July 03, 2006